

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,879	· 08/20/2003	Peter Mark Grehlinger	TA-612-US	5213
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 875 15th Street, NW			EXAMINER	
			VU, KIEU D	
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,879	GREHLINGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kieu D. Vu	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statement of the provision of the maximum statutory period for reply will, by statement of the maximum statutory.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statement of the maximum statement of the maximum statement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u> 6 November 2007</u> .					
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-29 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-29 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement					
	aron oloonom roquiromome					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	<del>-</del> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· —	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·				

10/643,879 \_ Art Unit: 2173

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 11/06/07.
- Claims 1-2 and 4-29 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 4-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dove et al (hereinafter "Dove", US 2003/0035004), Petruk et al (hereinafter "Petruk", US 2002/0196283) and Franck ("Integration of the Rheometer into Today's Formulation Laboratories", June 2002)

Regarding claims 1, 13, 18, 23, 26, 28-29, Dove teaches a method for dynamically controlling operation of a device, comprising: creating a program on a programming interface for executing a test upon a sample in a device by receiving user selections of a plurality of nodes and connections of each node to another node according to directional connection indicators, wherein nodes indicate steps for performing a test upon a sample or configuring a device for performing a test upon a sample [0018]; creating scripts for generating a sequence of instructions to the device, wherein the scripts include instructions for performing steps indicated by each of the selected nodes and in accordance with the directional connection indicators ([0022], [0097]); downloading low-level instructions from the scripts for execution in the device;

10/643,879 Art Unit: 2173

and instructing systems in the device to perform the downloaded instructions ([0077], [0097]). Dove does not explicitly teach of identifying parameters associated with each selected node and receiving respective parameter values from a user. In the same field of Dove's invention, Petruk teaches a method for creating a graphical program ([0015] [0127]) wherein a node is configured by identifying parameters associated with each selected node and receiving respective parameter values from a user ([0131], [0139], [0151], [0163], [0187])). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Petruk's teaching into Dove's test interface with the motivation being to enable the user to vary the values or parameters for testing steps thus enhance the flexibility of Dove's test interface. Dove in view of Petruk teaches wherein the scripts are generated in accordance with the selected parameter value (Dove, [0022]) (Petruk, [0151]) and further teaches that the device can be used in testing and measuring (Dove, [0006]) (Petruk, [0064]) but does not specifically teach that the device is a rheometer. Franck teaches integration of the rheometer into formulation laboratories (pages 29-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Franck's rheometer integration into Dove's test interface with the motivation being to enhance the usefulness and application of Dove's invention.

Regarding claim 2, Dove teaches wherein the programming interface is a graphical user interface enabling a user to select pre-existing icons or create icons representative of nodes (0018]).

Regarding claim 4, Dove, as modified, teaches a step of generating forms for

prompting a user to enter, confirm, or modify parameter values (Dove [0020]) wherein each parameter corresponds to a field in a given form (Petruk, [0151] [0187]).

Regarding claims 5, 20, Dove teaches wherein scripts are created in accordance with information retrieved from a node class library, which tracks parameters associated with nodes and connections between nodes ([0020], [0097].

Regarding claim 6, Dove teaches wherein certain nodes are dynamically created, further comprising a step of determining parameters to be identified for each dynamically created node ([0011], [0012], [0020]).

Regarding claims 7, 21, Dove teaches wherein a sequence engine in the rheometer receives the scripts for executing the instructions independently of the programming interface ([0097]).

Regarding claims 8, 22, Dove teaches wherein the scripts are downloaded to the rheometer via a TCP/IP connection for operation without further intervention from the programming interface [0097]).

Regarding claims 9, 16, Dove teaches wherein certain selected nodes are representative of a plurality of other nodes connected by directional connection indicators for grouping instructions associated with a test to be performed in the rheometer ([0012], [0018]).

Regarding claims 10, 17, 19, Dove teaches wherein the programming interface includes a chart for enabling a user to graphically select and drag icons from a palette ([0020], [0057]).

10/643,879 Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claim 11, Dove does not teach wherein the programming interface includes a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes. An Office Notice is taken that a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes is known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes in Dove with the motivation being to provide the user with a clear and better view of the programming interface.

Regarding claim 12, Dove teaches the programming interface includes a chart for enabling a user to graphically select and drag icons from a palette ([0020], [0057[) but Dove does not teach wherein the programming interface includes a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes. An Office Notice is taken that a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes is known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a tree view for hierarchical navigation through selected nodes in Dove with the motivation being to provide the user with a clear and better view of the programming interface.

Regarding claim 14, Dove teaches wherein scripts are created for generating a sequence of instructions to the rheometer indicated by each of the selected nodes and in accordance with the directional connection indicators and data flow indicators (0018).

10/643,879 Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claim 15, Dove teaches wherein low-level instructions are downloaded from the scripts for instructing drivers in the rheometer for performing the downloaded instructions ([0022], [0077]).

Regarding claim 24, Dove teaches wherein the output interface additionally downloads instructions to an analysis and presentation tool for creating reports for display to a user ([0073], [0076], [0077]).

Regarding claim 25, Dove teaches wherein the programming interface operates on a graphical user interface for enabling selection of nodes and connections of nodes without requiring a user to enter programming code ([0011]).

Regarding claim 27, Dove teaches comprising the step of encapsulating a sequence of steps-for performing a test in a rheometer to be-represented as a single icon ([0077]).

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/06/07 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4057.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached at 571-272-4048.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

10/643,879 Art Unit: 2173 Page 7

and / or:

571-273-4057 (use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kieu D. Vu

**Primary Examiner** 

Krew Ramber